

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 3:18-cv-01598-AC

Plaintiff,

ORDER

v.

KAREN L. CARLSON; CHARLES HARMAN;
YAMHILL COUNTY, OREGON; OCWEN
FINANCIAL CORPORATION; OREGON
DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE; JULIE M.
PETTIT; MARTIN J. STRELECKY; TERESA
A. STRELECKY,

Defendants.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Acosta issued a Findings and Recommendation [43] on August 1, 2019, in which he recommends that the Court deny Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [39]. The matter is now before the Court pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).


Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, the Court is relieved of its obligation to review the record *de novo*. *United States v. Reyna-Tapia*, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); *see also United States v. Bernhardt*, 840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, the Court finds no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Acosta's Findings and Recommendation [43]. Accordingly, Defendants' Motion to Dismiss [39] is DENIED.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 27 day of Sept, 2019


MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ
United States District Judge